Committee(s):	Date(s):
Audit and Risk Management Committee	15th October 2013
Subject:	Public
Strategic risk SR8 - Managing the City of London Corporation's reputation	
Report of:	For Decision
Director of Public Relations	

### **Summary**

This report sets out briefly the background to the management of Strategic Risk No 8 – the management of the City of London Corporation's reputation. It specifies the nature of the risks, the procedures in place to tackle them and the integral part which this work plays in the implementation of the overall Communications Strategy.

#### Recommendations

The Committee is recommended to endorse contents of this report.

#### **Main Report**

## **Background**

1. Like all organisations, the protection and enhancement of its reputation is an integral part of the work of the City of London Corporation. The lead responsibility for this rests with the Director of Public Relations and the Public Relations Office. The overall approach to this work is set out in the Communications Strategy, 2013-16, approved in March 2013. The relevant section of the Strategy is attached at appendix A. Detailed arrangements are also in place to ensure that this work is carried out in a fully integrated way with all relevant committees and departments, including appropriate regular meetings to review the current position and advise on the best way to handle particular issues, as and when they arise.

#### **Current Position**

- 2. The current entry on the risk register for this risk (SR 8) is attached at appendix B. Various mitigating controls are in place as follows:
  - 2.1. Work proceeds to implement the focus of the communications work in relation to the services which the organisation provides, as specified in the current Communications Strategy (in addition to the continuing work on financial services issues). Detailed reports on the progress with these activities are provided quarterly to the Policy and Resources Committee;
  - 2.2. The City Corporation's retained public affairs consultants, Quiller Consultants Ltd, provide, inter alia, detailed external advice and guidance on the management of reputational risk, through regular discussions with senior Members and officers:

- 2.3. The Director gives the highest priority to ensuring that the staffing arrangements of the PR Office encompass all the necessary skills, knowledge, experience and approach that assists in preparing in advance for possible risks to the reputation of the organisation and handling them effectively, as and when they arise. This includes continuously placing the highest priority on the need to keep a close watch on this aspect of the organisation's work;
- 2.4. The Director ensures regular liaison with Chairmen and Deputy Chairmen of Committees, chief officers and departmental communications representatives (including a regular meeting of the latter), whereby the reputational risks from all policy decisions and other aspects of the organisation's work can be closely monitored;
- 2.5. Regular public relations training and guidance is provided for departmental communications representatives with responsibility for handling day-to-day communications issues in coordination with the PR Office;
- 2.6. Each departmental risk register is reviewed carefully on a regular basis, by both the audit and risk management team and PRO staff, to make sure that all potential reputational risks are managed appropriately.
- 3. There are a number of substantial potential and current reputational risks which are set out in the attached entry in the risk register. Detailed plans are in place to monitor and manage each of these risks.

#### Conclusion

4. This report sets out briefly the current position on the management of reputational risk within the organisation, which the Committee is asked to consider and note.

#### **Background Papers:**

• City of London Communications Strategy, 2013-16

#### **Appendices**

- Appendix A: Relevant section of the Communications Strategy, 2013-16
- Appendix B: Strategic Risk 8, Negative publicity and damage to the City Corporation's reputation.

## **Tony Halmos**

**Director of Public Relations** 

T: 0207 332 1450

E: Tony.Halmos@cityoflondon.gov.uk

#### Appendix A

Extract from City of London Communications Strategy, 2013-16.

### Reputation and risk management

One of the key roles of the Communications Strategy is to embed across the organisation the importance of managing reputational risk. The diagram below demonstrates the way in which we plan to handle any specific threat to the organisation's reputation.



In both the run-up to and the aftermath of the elections to the Common Council in March, critics of the City Corporation are likely to raise concerns which challenge the legitimacy of the organisation. Criticisms may focus on issues relating to the electoral process, our financial transparency and our engagement with policy makers. This is the context in which all parts of the organisation need to recognise that they operate.

To increase the recognition of the services provided by the City Corporation across London, we will be giving emphasis to the work done to support London's communities, and to help look after London's heritage and green spaces.

Across the organisation, there is a continually growing awareness of the emerging role of new and social media, specifically in relation to the management of reputational risk and we have developed a strategy for this, including relevant training of an ever-wider group of City Corporation staff.

# **Risk Supporting Statement SR 8**

## **Risk Owner: Town Clerk / Director of Public Relations**

Risk			Risk	R
	Negative publicity and damage to the City Corporation's reputation.  Links to: Strategic Aims SA1, SA2 and SA3 and Key Policy Priorities KPP1, KPP2, KPP3, KPP4 and KPP5	' I IKALINAA	Im	npact
	Links to. Strategic Aims SAT, SAZ and SAS and Rey Folicy Friorities RFF 1, RFF2, RFF3, RFF4 and RFF3			4
Detail	always be an inherent risk around reputa projects, internal and external developme of Public Relations on a quarterly basis management becomes embedded, through	ternal factors or failure to manage risk within the operations of the organisation. Ition, but the specific threats present at any one time will vary depending on the natents or factors. A shortlist of the most significant issues is maintained, updated by the square information gained from on-going liaison with departments and, in future the samination of departmental risk registers. In addition to the shortlist below, there werse media comment following failure of service delivery. The likelihood and impanded and outcome of the failure.	ture o he Dire ure as e is a b	of key ector oroac
Issues	- Exp - Reg rep und - Reg	unications strategy in place perienced media/communications team with the right skills to handle reputation issue gular liaison with Committees and departments including through departmental committees etc, aiming to ensure the overall reputation of the organisation der close review during all policy deliberations gular PR training sessions held for departmental communications representatives amination of departmental risk registers to identify emerging issues	nunica	

Summary and Further Action Summary: Shortlist of Key Issues	Likelihood	Impact	Net Risk 🖊	
		•	Likelihood	Impact
(a) Hampstead Heath Hydrology and related issues	2	5	3	4
(b) London Living Wage	5	3	Control	
(c) Debate around the transparency and accountability for City's Cash	4	3	Evaluation	
(d) Adverse comment or publicity on the role and purpose and governance of the City Corporation	3	3		
(e) Managing the impact of street works on visitors, residents and workers	5	3	G	1
(f) Keeping website up-to-date and effective as a communications tool	1	3	١	,
(g) Adverse publicity from any failures of performance by City Schools	3	3		